Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/02/01 : CIA-RDP87-00181R000200260021-6

## **STAT**



Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/02/01 : CIA-RDP87-00181R000200260021-6 I think that the position we take is simply that classified take is simply that classified documents used as source material should be identified as classified.

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/02/01 : CIA-RDP87-00181R000200260021-6

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/02/01 : CIA-RDP87-00181R000200260021-6

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Dio 2

STAT

There's a policy question here. As you can see in the attached manuscript, the CIA documents in the footnotes -- mostly DDI and old OCI memos -- show no classification. Deliberate on the part of the Army historians, says their Colonel Dunn. He wants us to make an "unbiased" classification judgment on the use of the information in the manuscript, not on what the classification of the document was from which the information was abstracted. The question is: do we want to go along with these ground rules, and make a classification decision on a manuscript without knowing the security "climate" from whence the information came? We can't find the original documents or find any information (in DARE and DECAL) that they were ever declassified. How does the DO feel about such an action (in general, since these are not your documents)?

STAT

(The documents were apparently stuck away in various Army types' 'personal files''.)

Date 1 0 AUG 1983

FORM 101 USE PREVIOUS 5-75 101 EDITIONS

